



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,509	12/14/2001	Masayoshi Suzuki	382684/00	3599
75	590 04/23/2003			
McGinn & Gibb, PLLC			EXAMINER	
8321 Old Court Vienna, VA 22	house Road, Suite 200 2182-3817		ERDEM, FAZLI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Av Hastion No.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s) /			
Office Action Summary	10/014,509	MASAYOSHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAU INC DATE of this communication ann	Fazli Erdem	2826			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 D	<u>ecember 2001</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/014,509

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ham (6,466,291) in view of Song et al. (6,512,568) further in view of Shinjo et al. (5,495,352).

Regarding Claims 1-3, Ham discloses an in-plane switching mode liquid crystal display device having plurality of pixel regions where a first portion of one pixel region has alignment direction clockwise inclined relative to the extension direction of the data electrode, and a second portion of the pixel region has alignment direction counterclockwise included relative to the extension direction of the data electrode. Ham fails to disclose the required pixel electrode structure and the required electrode structure in the required configuration. However, Song et al. disclose a liquid crystal display having wide viewing angle, where the required pixel electrode structure is disclosed. Furthermore, Shinjo et al. disclose a liquid crystal display device with stripe-shaped unevenness on the electrodes where the required electrode structure configuration is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required pixel electrode and the required pixel electrode configuration in Ham as taught by Song et al and Shinjo et al. respectively, in order to have a liquid crystal display device with better performance.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,509

Art Unit: 2826

Conclusion

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (703) 305-3868. The

examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular

communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

FE

April 21, 2003

NATHAN OFLYNN

SUPERVISORY FOR ENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800